UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,699	09/08/2006	Choon Meng Chan	PA030013	1995
Joseph J. Laks,	7590 09/02/200 Vice President	EXAMINER		
Patent Operations			RIZK, SAMIR WADIE	
Thomson Licensing Inc P O Bos 5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2112	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/553,699	CHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAM RIZK	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	ne 2009.					
<i>i</i>	, 					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
<u> </u>						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

- Response to the applicant's amendment dated 6/3/2009
- Claims 1-10 have been submitted for examination
- Claims 1-10 have been rejected

Drawings Objections

1. In view of the applicant's amended drawings filed on 6/3/2009, all objections to the drawings are withdrawn.

Specification

2. In view of the applicant's amended specifications, all objections to the specification are withdrawn.

Claim Objections

3. In view of the applicant's amended claims 2 and 3, all objections to the claims are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. In view of the applicant's amended claim 1, all objections to the claim rejections under section **35 USC § 101** are withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2112

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burgan,
 A Proposal for error control in SAME coded EAS and NWR, March 14, 1999,
 (Hereinafter Burgan) Copy provided.
- 7. In regard to claim 1, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method to decode a received data string in a processing device, said data string being part of a message containing non-data elements and data string elements of varying length, comprising the steps of;
 - locating a predefined significant part of the data stringy; disregarding from consistency checking an insignificant part of the data stringy: and
 (Burgan in page 2, lines (21-23) teaches (emphasis added): If the character at the end is something other than a dash and because the CRC-16 string does not

Art Unit: 2112

match the present SAME pattern it would be rejected by present equipment as extraneous (insignificant) characters.)

 further performing consistency checking only for the located significant part of the data string.

(Burgan in page 1, line 17 teaches (emphasis added): The two message procedure doubles the odds (<u>consistency test</u>) of receiving a valid message)

- 8. In regard to claim 2, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1, comprising the steps of: determining the length of said data string;
 - pinpointing predetermined data positions using said length;
 - removing data from said data string starting from a position determined by said length.

(page 2, lines (20-32) in Burgan)

- 9. In regard to claim 3, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 2, wherein the determining step consists in locating a predetermined sequence in said data string.

(Burgan in page 2, lines (21-23) teaches (emphasis added): If the character at the end is something other than a dash and because the *CRC-16* string does not match the present SAME pattern it would be rejected by present equipment as extraneous (insignificant) characters.)

Art Unit: 2112

10. In regard to claim 4, Burgan teaches:

(Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps
of comparing, byte by byte, different data strings assumed to contain identical
data; taking as correct data those bytes for which said comparison gives the
result "identical".

(Burgan in page 2, lines (21-23) teaches (emphasis added): If the character at the end is something other than a dash and because the *CRC-16* string does not match the present SAME pattern it would be rejected by present equipment as extraneous (insignificant) characters.)

- 11. In regard to claim 5, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps
 of: locating a predefined important segment as significant part of the data strings
 remaining after the previous step;
 - disregarding the data locations that do not belong to said important segment; and
 - further checking only the important segments.
 - (Burgan in page 2, lines (21-23) teaches (emphasis added): If the character at the end is something other than a dash and because the *CRC-16* string does not match the present SAME pattern it would be rejected by present equipment as extraneous (insignificant) characters.)
- 12. In regard to claim 6, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1 comprising the further step of;
 searching for meaningful data in case that no correct data can be determined.

Art Unit: 2112

(Burgan in page 2, lines (21-23) teaches (emphasis added): If the character at the end is something other than a dash and because the *CRC-16* string does not match the present SAME pattern it would be rejected by present equipment as extraneous (insignificant) characters.)

- 13. In regard to claim 7, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1 comprising the further step of" searching for a predefined header code block; and
 - attaching a header code block at the start of the received data string if no such header code block is found in the preceding step.
 (page 2, lines (20-30) in Burgan)
- 14. In regard to claim 8, Burgan teaches:
 - (Currently Amended) Method according to claim 1 comprising the further step of;
 checking for a predetermined set of symbols at a predetermined location of the
 data string; and
 - inserting to or removing from the data string symbols so as to shift the predetermined location if the check of the previous step did locate them at a different position.

(Burgan in page 2, lines (21-23) teaches (emphasis added): If the character at the end is something other than a dash and because the *CRC-16* string does not match the present SAME pattern it would be rejected by present equipment as extraneous (insignificant) characters.)

Art Unit: 2112

15. claims 9 and 10 are rejected for the same reasons as per claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sam Rizk whose telephone number is (571) 272-

8191. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronics Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

/Sam Rizk/

Examiner, Art Unit 2112